Bonneville Power Administration Fish and Wildlife Program FY99 Proposal Form

Section 1. General administrative information

Securing Wildlife Mitigation Sites-Oregon, South Fork Crooked River

Bonneville project number, if an ongoing project 9705913

Business name of agency, institution or organization requesting funding Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Business acronym (if appropriate) ODFW

Proposal contact person or principal investigator:

Name	Gregory B. Sieglitz
Mailing Address	7118 NE Vandenberg Ave.
City, ST Zip	Corvallis, OR 97330
Phone	541-757-4186
Fax	541-747-4252
Email address	greg.b.sieglitz

Subcontractors. List one subcontractor per row; to add more rows, press Alt-Insert from within this table

Organization	Mailing Address	City, ST Zip	Contact Name
The Nature	821 SE 14th Ave.	Portland, OR	Russ Pinto
Conservancy		97214	
Confederated	P.O. Box C	Warm Springs, OR	Terry Luther
Tribes of the Warm		97761	-
Springs in Oregon			
Bureau of Land	185 E 4th St., PO	Prineville, OR	District Staff
Management	Box 550	97754	
Oregon Natural	821 SE 14th Ave.	Portland, OR	Jimmy Kagan
Heritage Program		97214	

NPPC Program Measure Number(s) which this project addresses.

7.1, 7.6.A, 7.6.B, 7.6.C, 7.7, 7.8, 11.3.A, 11.3.D

NMFS Biological Opinion Number(s) which this project addresses.

Other planning document references.

If the project type is "Watershed" (see Section 2), reference any demonstrable support from affected agencies, tribes, local watershed groups, and public and/or private landowners, and cite available documentation.

See references and related projects sections.

Subbasin.

Upper Columbia-Deschutes River watershed

Short description.

Enhance 2000 acres of akaline wetland, Great Basin wildrye grassland, salt desert scrub, and riparian wetland habitat along the South Fork of the Crooked River

Section 2. Key words

Mark	Programmatic	Mark		Mark	
	Categories		Activities		Project Types
	Anadromous fish		Construction	+	Watershed
+	Resident fish	+	O & M	+	Biodiversity/genetics
X	Wildlife		Production		Population dynamics
	Oceans/estuaries		Research	+	Ecosystems
	Climate	+	Monitoring/eval.		Flow/survival
	Other	+	Resource mgmt		Fish disease
			Planning/admin.		Supplementation
			Enforcement	+	Wildlife habitat en-
		X	Acquisitions		hancement/restoration

Other keywords.

Riparian, habitat improvement, and restoration

Section 3. Relationships to other Bonneville projects

Project #	Project title/description	Nature of relationship
9705900	Securing Wildlife Mitigation Sites-	Umbrella project, Provides project
	Oregon	location, priority, and data tracking
		information
		(Planning/Implementation)
95-65	Assessing Oregon Trust Agreement	Tool used to analyze and rank
	Using GAP Analysis	potential projects in the basin for
		implementation(Planning)

92-84	Oregon Trust Agreement Planning	Methods developed for assembling
	Project	trust agreement and list of potential
		projects(Planning)

Section 4. Objectives, tasks and schedules

Objectives and tasks

Object	tives and tasks		
Obj		Task	
1,2,3	Objective	a,b,c	Task
1	Determine best methods of restoring riparian wetlands, grassland, and salt desert scrub habitats along the South Fork Crooked River	a	Develop restoration plan
		b	Develop operations and maintenance plan
		c	Develop monitoring plan
2	Restore habitat features through enhancement measures	a	Restore habitat features to riparian wetland, grassland, and salt desert scrub habitat areas of the property
3	Implement monitoring and operations and maintenance on property	a	Monitor the maintenance and improvement of wildlife habitat features

Objective schedules and costs

Objective #	Start Date mm/yyyy	End Date mm/yyyy	Cost %
1	10/98	3/99	10
2	4/99	5/00	85
3	10/00	ongoing	5

Schedule constraints.

Delayed and inadequate funding to implement projects within the scheduled time periods Severe weather conditions which could delay field activities

Unexpected difficulties with the negotiation efforts with landowners

Completion date.

Enhancement-FY 00, O&M-ongoing. Once the mitigation losses associated with the hydro-electric facilities have been fully mitigated for through the acquision and enhancement of habitats the program will only require O&M funds to ensure habitat values as long as the hydro projects are in operation FWP

Section 5. Budget

FY99 budget by line item

Item	Note	FY99
Personnel		
Fringe benefits		
Supplies, materials, non- expendable property		
Operations & maintenance		
Capital acquisitions or improvements (e.g. land, buildings, major equip.)	Habitat enhancement	20,000
PIT tags	# of tags:	
Travel		
Indirect costs		
Subcontracts		
Other		
TOTAL		20,000

Outyear costs

Outyear costs	FY2000	FY01	FY02	FY03
Total budget	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
O&M as % of total	100	100	100	100

Section 6. Abstract

This project is one of many which are considered ongoing acquistion and enhancement activities funded through the Securing Wildlife Mitigation Sites - Oregon 9705900 project. The project description fully explains the history, scientific background, and methods used for all projects which fall under the umbrella project. This abstract describes the details of this site specific project.

During the FY 1998 project period, the potential enhancement property is being considered as a candidate for a conservation easement. If an easement is not determined to be desireable or possible a cooperative management plan is very likely. Enhancement activities would begin once the management goals and ojectives are fully developed in a a management plan. The enhancement will restore approximately 2000 acres of akaline wetlands, shrub-steppe, grassland, riparian wetland and salt desert scrub habitat on the South Fork Crooked River in Oregon. Some of the most threatened vegetation species on site and in the ecoregion includes black greasewood and Great Basin wildrye grass. The restoration of this property will entail the removal and/or management of the grazing which is presently altering the wetland and scrub habitats on the site. A cost/benefit analysis of fencing and providing alternative water sources will be conducted. The

habitat types found on this piece of property are remnants of what were once occurances throughout the Columbia Basin (formerly Columbia Plateau) and High Lava Plains ecoregions (formerly Columbia Plateau). Like most habitats historically common in the ecoregion those listed above have been lost to development of hydro-electric facilities and the resultant agricultural developments. The adjacent lands surrounding the ranch is owned and managed by the Bureau of Land Management Prineville District. The federal land has been designated as Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs). The adjacent WSAs are the two largest of such sites found in central Oregon. Management plans for the enhanced lands will be developed in concert with the federal properties in an attempt at providing a well managed large contiguous tract of native habitat. Partnerships will occur with the Bureau of Land Management, private landowner, Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs in Oregon, and The Nature Conservancy. Mitigation target species include waterfowl, shorebirds, mule deer, sage grouse, and western meadowlark. Other species of interest include western burrowing owl, ferruginous hawk, and Swainson's hawk. Expected HEP mitigation gains through the two projects are from 800-1000 HUs to be applied to BPA's habitat debt at The Dalles reservoir.

Section 7. Project description

a.	Technical	and/or	scientific	background.
u.	1 cciiiiicai	ana, or	BCICIILIIC	bucissi build.

- b. Proposal objectives.
- c. Rationale and significance to Regional Programs.
- d. Project history
- e. Methods.

f. Facilities and equipment.

No new facilities are anticipated to be necessary at this time. Existing facilities of the project implementers and cooperators will be used to minimize cost and increase efficiency. Exisiting equipment will also be used to the maximum extent practical. This includes vehicles, farm equipment, and computers.

g. References.

Bedrossian, K.L., J. H. Noyes and M.S. Potter. 1985. Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat Loss Assessment at Lookout Point Dam and Reservoir Project Middle Fork Willamette River, Oregon. Prepared by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife for U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 72pp.

Beschta, R. L., W.S. Platts, J. B. Kauffman, and M.T. Hill 1994. Artificial stream restoration--money well-spent or an expensive failure? Universities Council on Water Resources Annual Conference, Big Sky Montana, Carbondale, IL

BPA. 1993. Oregon Trust Agreement Planning Project: Potential Mitigation to the Impacts on Oregon Wildlife Resources Associated with Relevant Mainstem Columbia River and Willamette River Hydroelectric Projects. Bonneville Power Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, Portland, OR. DOE/BP-90299-1. 53pp plus Appendices.

BPA 1997a. Watershed Management Program Final Environmental Impact Statement. DOE/EIS - 0265. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR

BPA 1997b. Wildlife Mitigation Program Final Environmental Impact Statement. DOE/EIS - 0246. Portland, OR

BPA 1997c. Wildlife Mitigation Program Record of Decision. DOE/EIS - 0246. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR

Csuti, B., A.J. Kimerling, T.A. O'Neil, M.M. Shaughnessy, E. Gaines, M.M.P. Huso. 1997. *Atlas of Oregon Wildlife: distribution, habitat, and natural history*. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, OR.

Forman, R.T. and M. Gordon. 1986. Landscape Ecology. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Harris, L.D.. 1984. The Fragmented Forest: Island Biogeography Theory and the Preservation of Biotic Diversity. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.

Interior, U. S. D. 1980. Habitat as a Basis for Environmental Assessment. ESM 101, Release 4-80. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Ecological Services, Washinton, D.C.

Kauffman, J. B., R.L. Beschta, N. Otting, and D. Lytjen. 1997. An ecological perspective of riparian and stream restroation in the western United States. *Fisheries* 22: 12-24.

Machlis, G., D. Forester, and J. McKendry. 1994. Gap analysis and the National Parks: adding the socioeconomic dimension. Park Science. National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior. 5pp.

- Noyes, J.H., M.S. Potter and K.L. Bedrossian. 1985a. Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat Loss Assessment at Detroit and Big Cliff Dam and Reservoir Project North Santiam River, Oregon. Prepared by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife for U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 76pp.
- Noyes, J.H., M.S. Potter and K.L. Bedrossian. 1985b. Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat Loss Assessment at Dexter Dam and Reservoir Project Middle Fork Willamette River, .

Prepared by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife for U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 63pp.

- Noyes, J.H., M.S. Potter and K.L. Bedrossian. 1985c. Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat Loss Assessment at Hills Creek Dam and Reservoir Project Middle Fork Willamette River, Oregon. Prepared by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife for U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 73pp.
- Noyes, J.H., M.S. Potter and K.L. Bedrossian. 1985d. Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat Loss Assessment at Cougar Dam and Reservoir Project South Fork McKenzie River,

Oregon.Prepared by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife for U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 71pp.

Noyes, J.H., M.S. Potter and K.L. Bedrossian. 1986. Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat Loss Assessment at Green Peter-Foster Project Middle Fork Santiam River, Oregon.

Prepared by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife for U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 84pp.

NPPC 1994. Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. NPPC 94-55. Northwest Power Planning Council, Portland, OR

ODFW 1997a. Assessing Oregon Trust Agreement Planning Project Using GAP Analysis. In fullfillment of Project Number 95-65, Contract Number DE-BI179-92BP90299. Prepared for: U.S. Bonneville Power Administration; Project Cooperators: U.S, Fish and Wildlife Service, Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation, Burn Paiute Tribe, Oregon Natural Heritage Program, Portland, OR

Pennisi, E. 1993. Filling in the gaps. Science News, Vol 144. 4pp.

Preston, S., Noyes, J., and Potter, M. 1987. A wildlife habitat protection, mitigation, and enhancement plan for eight federal hydroelectric facilities in the Willamette River Basin.Prepared by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife for U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 85pp plus Appendices.

Rasmussen, L. and P. Wright. 1990a. Wildlife impact assessment, Bonneville Project, Oregon and Washington. Prepared by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for U.S. Dept. Of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 37pp.

Rasmussen, L. and P. Wright. 1990b. Wildlife impact assessment, McNary Project, Oregon and Washington. Prepared by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for U.S. Dept. Of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 46pp.

Rasmussen, L. and P. Wright. 1990c. Wildlife impact assessment, John Day Project, Oregon and Washington. Prepared by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for U.S. Dept. Of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 47pp.

Rasmussen, L. and P. Wright. 1990d. Wildlife impact assessment, The Dalles Project, Oregon and Washington. Prepared by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for U.S. Dept. Of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 34pp.

Scott, M.J. and E. T. LaRoe. 1993. Status and directions of the Fish and Wildlife Service's Gap Analysis Program in 1993. Gap Analysis Bulletin No. 3. 2pp.

Scott, M.J., F. Davis, B. Csuti, R. Noss, B. Butterfield, C. Groves, H. Anderson, S. Caicco, F. D'Erchia, T. C. Edwards Jr., J. Ulliman, and R.G. Wright. 1993. Gap Analysis: a geographic approach to protection of biological diversity. Wildlife Monograph no. 123. 41 pp.

Scott, M.J. 1994. Preface. National Biological Survey Gap Analysis Program Handbook, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID., 3pp.

USDA 1996. Status of the interior Columbia basin: summary of scientific finding. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-385. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR

Section 8. Relationships to other projects

The Oregon Trust Agreement Planning Project 92-84, Assessing Oregon Trust Agreement Using GAP Analysis 95-65, and Securing Wildlife Mitigation Sites-Oregon 9705900 are the pre-planning and planning projects upon which the identification and selection of mitigation projects in the Willamette basin and other Columbia tributary basin are based.

Section 9. Key personnel

Susan Barnes, ODFW, Columbia Basin Mitigation Coordinator, Portland, Oregon Resume available upon request.

Brian Ferry, ODFW, District Wildlife Biologist, Prineville, Oregon Resume available upon request.

Jimmy Kagan, Oregon Natural Heritage Program, Director, Portland, Oregon Resume available upon request.

Section 10. Information/technology transfer

Multiple reports and written documents will also be developed and distributed via BPA and the Internet. New techniques for restoration of the habitat types and vegetation communities are likely outcomes of this project which will be shared with interested parties.